

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

**LARRY MOLINA**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

---

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

---

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's in Cause No. 15-08-16927-CV, pending in the 79th Judicial District Court of Brooks County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Corpus Christi Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

**I.  
FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1.1 On or about August 13, 2015, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Original Petition in the matter styled *Larry Molina v. Allstate Texas Lloyd's*, Cause No. 15-08-16927-CV, pending in the 79th Judicial District Court of Brooks County, Texas, in which Plaintiff made a claim for damages to their home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Texas Lloyds.

1.2 Plaintiff served Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's (Allstate) with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on August 28, 2015, by certified mail on its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of Matters Being Filed, which clearly identifies each document. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the Brooks County Clerk's records for this case, which includes the docket sheet and all documents filed in the state court action—attached as Exhibits "B-1" through Exhibit "B-4" as identified on the Index of Matters Being Filed.

## **II.**

### **BASIS FOR REMOVAL**

2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

#### **A. THE PROPER PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.**

2.3 Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a natural person and resident of Brooks County in the State of Texas and thus, is a citizen of Texas. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition. On information and belief, Plaintiff intends to continue residing in Texas and are thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purpose.

**B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.**

2.5 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider “policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages.” *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); see *Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at \* 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff’s case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int’l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.6 Here, the civil action’s amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiff made a claim under that policy and Defendant wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiff’s claim. Plaintiff has specifically pled that they are seeking monetary relief over \$100,000, but not more than \$200,000. See Plaintiff’s Original Petition. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

**III.**  
**THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT**

3.1 Defendant Allstate was first served with Plaintiff’s Original Petition and process on August 28, 2015. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include Brooks County—where the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Brooks County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd’s hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger D. Higgins

Roger D. Higgins  
State Bar No. 09601500  
Vanessa Rosa  
State Bar No. 24081769  
THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.  
Plaza of the Americas  
700 N. Pearl Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2832  
Telephone: (214) 871-8200  
Telecopy: (214) 871-8209  
[rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com)  
[vrosa@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:vrosa@thompsoncoe.com)  
**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT**  
**ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on September 28, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered to the following counsel for Plaintiff by electronic service and/or facsimile:

Robert A. Pollom  
Michelle C. Le  
KETTERMAN ROWLAND & WESTLUND  
165000 San Pedro, Suite 302  
San Antonio, Texas 78232  
[robert@krwlawyers.com](mailto:robert@krwlawyers.com)  
[michellel@krwlawyers.com](mailto:michellel@krwlawyers.com)

/s/ Vanessa Rosa

Vanessa Rosa